

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/828,340	04/21/2004	Shuhei Yada	2004_0589A	5472
513 75	590 12/21/2005		EXAMINER	
WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P.			MANOHARAN, VIRGINIA	
2033 K STREE SUITE 800	T N. W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20006-1021		1764	

DATE MAILED: 12/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/828,340	YADA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	-
Virginia Manoharan	1764	

	virginia ivianonaran	1704	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 09 November 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Noi a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date 	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, affice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply missing the control of the contro	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) They raise new issues that would require further cole (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or They present additional claims without canceling a content of the c	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); ter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally rej	TE below);	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.14. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.125. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co: lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) objected to: none. Claim(s) rejected: 9-16. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 		Il be entered and an e	explanation of
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (•		



Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendments would provoke new 112 rejections. For examples Only:

- a). It is unclear whether the limitation recited prior the "wherein" clause, i.e., "wherein the collecting step comprises" is to be regarded as part of applicants' invention or not? Applicants should recite the claims in Jepson format (if intended) to delineate that which is an improvement in the art.
- b). The claimed "distilling water from the collection device.." provides for confusion and ambiguity because distilling has a peculiar meaning in the art, requiring a distillation or fractionation device to occur. A collecting device would presupposed merely for collection purposes.
- c). Claims 12 and 13 are rejected for the same reasons as set forth at section b). page 3 of the previous Office action.[This rejection has not been withdrawn. In fact it was Examiner's position that while the phrase "predetermined range is well understood in the art, however, it's a case to case basis. In the instant case, the base claim recites a step of adjusting or controlling such that any predetermined range must be identified in the claims, if affecting the adjusting and/or controlling step(s).

12/13/01